RECE

ORIGINAL

GITY OF COOPERSVILLE

AUG 23 2

OFFICE OF T

289 DANFORTH
P.O. BOX 135
COOPERSVILLE, MICHIGAN 49404-0135
616-837-9731 • FAX 616-837-6679



August 18, 1999

RECEIVED

Chairman William Kennard Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 SEP 0 9 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ex Parte Filing in cases WT 99-217, CC 96-98

Dear Chairman Kennard:

Please do not adopt the rule proposed in these cases allowing any telephone company to serve any tenant of a building and to place their antenna on the building roof.

In some states 70 or more new telephone companies have been certificated to provide service. Add in the wireless telephone companies and under your rule you may have 100 companies allowed to place their wires in a building, and their antennas on the roof-all without the landlord's permission.

The FCC lacks the authority to do this. It would violate basic property rights-a landlord, city or condominium has the right to control who comes on their property. Congress did not give the FCC the authority to condemn space for 100 telephone companies in every building in the country.

The FCC cannot preempt state and local building codes, zoning ordinances, environmental legislation and other laws affecting antennas on roofs. Zoning and building codes are purely matters of state and local jurisdiction, which under Federalism and the Tenth Amendment you may not preempt.

For example, building codes are imposed in part for engineering related safety reasons. These vary by region, weather patterns and building type-such as the likelihood of earthquakes, hurricanes and maximum amount of snow and ice. If antennas are too heavy or too high, roofs collapse. If they are not properly secured, they will blow over and damage the building, its inhabitants or passers-by.

Similarly, zoning laws are matters of local concern which protect and promote the public health, safety and welfare, ensure compatibility of uses, preserve property values and the character of our communities. We may restrict the numbers, types, locations, size and aesthetics of antennas on buildings (such as requiring them to be properly screened) to achieve these legitimate goals, yet see that

No. of Copies rec'd THI

needed services are provided. This requires us to balance competing concerns-which we do every day, with success. Everyone wants garbage picked up, no one wants a transfer station. Everyone wants electricity, no one wants a substation near their home.

The application of zoning principles is highly dependent on local conditions. These vary greatly state by state, from municipality to municipality and within municipalities. We have successfully applied these principles and balanced competing concerns for eighty years. Zoning has not unnecessarily impeded technology or the development of our economy, nor will it here. There is simply no basis to conclude that for a brand-new technology (wireless fixed telephones) with a minuscule track record that there are problems on such a massive scale with the 38,000 units of local government in the U.S. as to warrant Federal action.

On rights of way, local management of them is essential to protect the public health, safety and welfare. Congress has specifically prohibited you from acting in this area.

We believe the telephone providers' complaints about rights-of-way management and fees are overblown, as show by the small number of court cases on this-only about a dozen nationwide in the three years since the 1996 Act. With 38,000 municipalities nationwide and thousands of telephone companies this number of cases shows that the system is working, not that it is broken.

Finally, we are surprised that you suggest that the combined Federal, state and local tax burden on new telephone companies is too high. The FCC has no authority to affect state or local taxes any more than it can affect Federal taxes.

For these reasons please reject the proposed rule and take no action on rights of way and taxes.

Very truly yours.

Thomas C. O'Malley

City Manager of Coopersville

CC:

See the attached listing of names and addresses.

Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Jeffrey Steinberg Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington D.C. 20554

Commissioner Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 Commissioner Gloria Tristani Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Joel Tauenblatt Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington D.C. 20554

International Transcription Services 445 12th Street SW Room CY-B402 Washington D.C. 20554

Mr. Kevin McCarty Assistant Executive Director U.S. Conference of Mayors 1620 I Street Fourth Floor Washington D.C. 20006

Ms. Barrie Tabin Legislative Counsel National League of Cities 1301 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. 6th Floor Washington D.C. 20004 Mr. Robert Fogel Associate Legislative Director National Association of Counties 440 First Street, N.W. 8th Floor Washington D.C. 20001

Mr. Lee Ruck Executive Director NATOA 1650 Tysons Road Suite 200 McLean, VA 22102-3915

Mr. Thomas Frost Vice President, Engineering Services BOCA International 4051 West Flossmoor Road Country Club Hills, IL 60478

The Honorable Carl Levin United States Senator 459 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Spencer Abraham United States Senator 329 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510-2203 The Honorable Peter Hoekstra United States Representative House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515